



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/412,182 | 10/05/1999 | JOSEPH M. CANNON | 83-76-31 | 9312 |
| - 75 | 90 06/27/2003 | | | |
| WILLIAM H. BOLLMAN MANELLI, DENISON & SELTER PLLC 2000 M STREET, NW | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | WEST, LEWIS G | |
| SUITE 700 WASHINGTON | N, DC 20036-3307 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | ,,20 2000 000 | | 2682 | 18 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/27/2003 | 14 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

()

| • | | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Advisory Action | on | 09/412,182 | CANNON ET AL. | <u> </u> | | | |
| 6 | , ridingsiy ridigir | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | | Lewis G. West | 2682 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this | s communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| There final condi | efore, further action by the apprejection under 37 CFR 1.113 | olicant is required to a may <u>only</u> be either: (* • filed Notice of Appe | HIS APPLICATION IN CONDIT void abandonment of this appling a timely filed amendment what (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed amendment what (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed and the control of the control | ication. A proper replich places the application | oly to a cation in | | | |
| _ | | PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| have be 37 CFI (b) abo | The period for reply expires on: (1) event, however, will the statutory portion on the control of the control of the control of time may be obtained under the control of t | the mailing date of this Advertiod for reply expire later the THE FIRST REPLY WAS at 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date mining the period of extensiration date of the shortened the Office later than three more | If the final rejection. If the final rejection. If the final rejection. If the final rejection. If the final rejection is a set forth in the set forth in the final rejection. If the final rejection is a set of the final rejection is a set of the final rejection. | of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. Solution. 136(a) and the appropriate extended the final Office action; or | e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | | | |
| 2. 🖂 | The proposed amendment(s) | will not be entered b | ecause: | | | | | |
| (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | | |
| (b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | | | |
| (0 | they are not deemed to present issues for appeal; and/or | | in better form for appeal by ma | terially reducing or s | implifying the | | | |
| (0 | d) 🔲 they present additional of | claims without cancel | ing a corresponding number of | finally rejected clair | ns. | | | |
| | NOTE: See Continuation | Sheet. | | | | | | |
| 3. | 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | |
| 4. | | | | | | | | |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | | | | | |
| 6. | | | | | | | | |
| 7. | | | | | | | | |
| | The status of the claim(s) is (| or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) withdrawn from con | sideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 9.[| | | | | | | | |
| 10. | Other: | | V/SOR | | | | | |
| | | | CHBERRICON PARTY | N T EVALUATION | | | | |
| | (703) 308-929 | ч | SUPERVISORY PATEN TECHNOLOGY CENT Por 26 (27) | TER 2600 | | | | |



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The issue of receiving a dial tone is newly added to the claims and would therefore require further search, also there is inadequate support in the specification to support the limitation as claimed. All arguments are repetitions of those which have been previously addressed with specific art citations which prove the examiner's point.